

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/602,791	RUGG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Julie Anne Watko	2627	
<b>All Participants:</b>		<b>Status of Application:</b> <u>affirmed in part, reversed in part by BPAI</u>	
(1) <u>Assignee's Rep. Robert J. Pechman, Reg. No. 45002.</u>		(3) _____.	
(2) <u>Primary Examiner Julie Anne Watko, AU 2627.</u>		(4) _____.	
<b>Date of Interview:</b> <u>17 February 2009</u>		<b>Time:</b> <u>11:35AM</u>	
<b>Type of Interview:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: .			
<b>Part I.</b>			
Rejection(s) discussed: <i>none</i>			
Claims discussed: <i>6 and 21-27</i>			
Prior art documents discussed: <i>none</i>			
<b>Part II.</b>			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Assignee's Rep. authorized the Examiner to amend claim 6 as proposed in the non-entered amendment filed 02/12/2009 by Examiner's Amendment as soon as possible.</i>			
<b>Part III.</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
02/17/2009 /Julie Anne Watko/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2627			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			